

#### 'United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,802	06/19/2002	Gunter Moehler	GK-ZEI-3153/500343.20154	6201
	7590 04/23/2003			
REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
599 LEXINGT	ON AVENUE, 29TH F NY 10022-7650		RAIZEN, DEBORAH A	
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
			-
Office Action Summary	10/049,802	MOEHLER, GUNTER	
	Examiner Debarate A. D. :	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	Deborah A. Raizen	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  rs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON vistatities cause the self-active self	ONTH(S) FROM  eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commun	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>19 June 2002</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice undisposition of Claims	allowance except for formal mate	ters, prosecution as to the me D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
4) Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 June 2002</u> is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in App	olication No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li></ul>	priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			ation)
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> </ul>	provisional application has bee	n received	aliony.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5\   Notice of lafe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paner N	la C

Application/Control Number: 10/049,802

Art Unit: 2873

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "adjusting the maximum position" is a separate method step. It should appear on its own line, and the first method step, ending with "first position", should end with a semicolon. Also, the step might be clearer if it were worded "adjusting to the maximum position." Furthermore, nouns such as "a nosepiece or a slide" added after "starting" and "adjusting" would be helpful. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the maximum position" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,802

Art Unit: 2873

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kojima and Nagano (English abstract of JP 04-093908, reference AR in applicants' IDS, received by PCT/PTO 15 FEB 2002). Kojima et al. disclose a method for detecting the maximum quantity of possible positions of an exchangeable nosepiece (revolver) or slide in a microscope system (English abstract; although their stated purpose is different, their method has the steps claimed in claim 2 and can therefore be used for the same purpose) comprising the steps of:

starting from an initial position which corresponds to a first position (lines 1-2 of "Constitution"; by applicants' admission on page 3, lines 2-3, a nosepiece is mounted in an initial position according to mounting instructions; also, any nosepiece inherently has at least one position, which can be labeled initial or first);

adjusting the maximum position (line 4 of "Constitution": "switch the objective lens" and lines 7-8 of "Constitution" in the submitted abstract: the revolver switches N objective lenses and therefore must at some point reach the maximum position);

comparing this maximum position to a position registered in a memory (line 5 of "Constitution" in the submitted abstract); and

storing the result of the comparison (line 6 of "Constitution" in the submitted abstract).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A. Raizen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/049,802

Art Unit: 2873

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

dar

April 21, 2003

Scott J. Sugarman Primary Examiner